# LOGICS EXERCISE

# TU München Institut für Informatik

PROF. TOBIAS NIPKOW DR. PETER LAMMICH SIMON WIMMER

SS 2016

EXERCISE SHEET 10

15.06.2016

Submission of Homework: Before tutorial on June 22

## Exercise 10.1. [Proofs in Sequent Calculus]

Using sequent calculus, prove or disprove wether the following formulas are tautologies:

- $\bullet \ A \lor \neg A$
- $((P \to Q) \to P) \to Q$
- $\neg (A \land B) \rightarrow \neg A \lor \neg B$

Also give the corresponding tableau for the last formula.

#### Exercise 10.2. [Modified Calculi]

In which ways does the sequent calculus change if we make one of the following modifications?

- We restrict the axiom for formulas to atoms, i.e.  $A, \Gamma \Rightarrow A, \Delta$ .
- We replace the axioms by  $F \Rightarrow F$  and  $\bot \Rightarrow \emptyset$  and add the weakening rule  $\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Gamma, \Gamma' \Rightarrow \Delta, \Delta'}$  to the calculus.
- We replace  $\lor_R$  by  $\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \lor B, \Delta}$  and  $\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow B, \Delta}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \lor B, \Delta}$ .

**Exercise 10.3.** [Derived Rule] Show that if  $\vdash_G \Gamma \Rightarrow \neg X, \Delta$  then  $\vdash_G X, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta$ 

### Homework 10.1. [Hintikka's Lemma]

For this exercise, we assume the set of basic connectives is  $\neg, \lor, \land$ . A set of formulas H is called Hintikka-set, iff

- 1. For any atom A, not both  $A \in H$  and  $\neg A \in H$
- 2. If  $\neg \neg Z \in H$  then also  $Z \in H$
- 3. If  $F_1 \wedge F_2 \in H$  then also  $F_1 \in H$  and  $F_2 \in H$
- 4. If  $\neg(F_1 \lor F_2) \in H$  then also  $\neg F_1 \in H$  and  $\neg F_2 \in H$
- 5. If  $F_1 \lor F_2 \in H$  then also  $F_1 \in H$  or  $F_2 \in H$
- 6. If  $\neg(F_1 \land F_2) \in H$  then also  $\neg F_1 \in H$  or  $\neg F_2 \in H$

Show: Every Hintikka-set is satisfiable.

Homework 10.2. [Sequent-Calculus] (5 points) Prove or disprove the following formulas in sequent calculus. For invalid formulas, read off a counterexample from the stuck proof tree:

1.  $A \land (B \lor C) \longrightarrow (A \land B) \lor (A \land C)$ 

2. 
$$\neg (A \land B) \longrightarrow \neg A \land \neg B$$

Homework 10.3. [Sequent Prover] (10 points) Implement a sequent calculus prover in your favorite programming language, and test it for all examples from this exercise sheet. Submission: Source code for prover and tests, README file containing instructions how to build prover and reproduce tests, as tgz-file by email to Simon or Peter.

Hint: You do not need to implement a parser, it's enough to specify the test-cases in a source-file. You also do not need to reconstruct counterexamples or proof-trees, a result valid/invalid is enough.